Paul E. Graff, Vice President, CSW Frontera GP II, Inc., 1616 Woodall Rodgers Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75202 AND Carolyn Y. Thompson, Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue, 1450 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005–2088.

Before a Presidential permit may be issued or amended, DOE must determine that the proposed action will not adversely impact on the reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system. In addition, DOE must consider the environmental impacts of the proposed action pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). DOE also must obtain the concurrence of the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense before taking final action on a Presidential permit application.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above. In addition, the application may be reviewed or downloaded from the Fossil Energy Home Page at: http://www.fe.doe.gov. Upon reaching the Fossil Energy Home page, select "Regulatory Programs," then "Electricity Regulations," and then "Pending Proceedings" from the options menus

Issued in Washington, D. C., on March 4, 1999.

Anthony J. Como,

Manager, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 99–5759 Filed 3–8–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-240-000]

Dynegy Midstream Pipeline, Inc.; Notice of Negotiated Rate Filing

March 3, 1999.

Take notice that on February 26, 1999, Dynegy Midstream Pipeline, Inc. (DMP formerly Warren Transportation, Inc.), pursuant to Section 3.6 of its FTS Rate Schedule, filed a negotiated rate agreement with Kansas Gas Service Company for service under DMP's FTS Rate Schedule. DMP requests an April 1, 1999 effective date for the agreement.

DMP states that the agreement provides for a volumetric rate service to specific delivery points where Kansas Gas Service provides residential service to customers on the DMP system. DMP states that the volumetric rate is the maximum interruptible transportation rate plus applicable surcharges and fuel.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–5710 Filed 3–8–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-235-000]

ANR Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 3, 1999.

Take notice that on February 26, 1999, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR) tendered for filing, as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets proposed to become effective March 1, 1999:

Thirty-Sixth Revised Sheet No. 8 Thirty-Sixth Revised Sheet No. 9 Thirty-Fifth Revised Sheet No. 13 Forty-Second Revised Sheet No. 18

ANR states that the above-referenced tariff sheets are being filed to implement recovery of approximately \$2.5 million of above-market costs that are associated with its obligations to Dakota Gasification Company (Dakota). ANR proposes a reservation surcharge applicable to its Part 284 firm transportation customers to collect ninety percent (90%) of the Dakota costs, and an adjustment to the maximum base tariff rates of Rate Schedule ITS and overrun rates applicable to Rate Schedule FTS-2, so as to recover the remaining ten percent (10%). ANR also advises that the proposed changes would decrease current quarterly Above-Market Dakota

Cost recoveries from \$2,571,704 to \$2,528,326.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/ rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–5705 Filed 3–8–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-236-000]

ANR Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 3, 1999.

Take notice that, on February 26, 1999, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheet, proposed to become effective March 1, 1999:

Forty-Third Revised Sheet No. 18

ANR states that the above-referenced tariff sheet is being filed to implement the annual reconciliation of the recovery of its Above-Market Dakota Costs, as required by its tariff recovery mechanism. ANR advises that the filing proposes a reservation surcharge adjustment of \$0.017 applicable to its currently effective, firm service Rate Schedules. This surcharge is proposed to recover from ANR's customers, over the twelve month period of March 1, 1999 to February 29, 2000, the \$1.0 million of Above-Market Dakota Cost undercollections, inclusive of interest, which are reflected in the filing.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion